New Method of Solving a complicated polynomial expression a possible alternative to Lambert W Function

UDEZE CHIGOZIE JOSEPH

Institute for Complex Systems and Mathematical Biology, University of Aberdeen (c.udeze.19@abdn.ac.uk)

Date of Submission: 22-11-2022	Date of Acceptance: 08-12-2022

Abstract

Lambert W function method is always used to solve equations of the form $A^x = Bx$ because series of trial to solve such equations by applying laws of indices failed.

Udeze Chigozie, a PhD student at university of Aberdeen introduced a pattern that can be used to solve equations of such order provided that *A* and *B* are integers. We are going to apply both the laws of indices and Udeze's comparison method (new) to generate a way of tackling such equations.

Series of examples of such equations are solved and the exact solution is gotten which made me to introduce this method as a new method in the mathematics world and I hope that Mathematicians all over the World will begin to think of a way of introducing new formulae to solve more complex problems.

Equation generation/Solution

First, let us introduce the comparison theorem before going into the context of this work.

.

Given an equation, say $(A + B)^x = (C + D)^y(1)$

The comparison theorem states that the base in the left-hand side of (1) is equal to the base of the right-hand side of (1), if and only if their powers are equal.

In other words, A + B = C + D, iffx = y

To solve for the value of x in the equations of the type $A^x = Bx$, we need to use the already existing laws of indices and combine it with comparison theorem to get.

Examples

Find the exact value of x and y in the following problems

1. $4^x = 8x$ 2. $9(3y^2) = 27^y$

Solutionsto Question (1)

Let $x = 2^p$

$$+ 4^{x} = 8x \Rightarrow 4^{2^{p}} = 8(2^{p}) ⇒ 2^{2^{p+1}} = 2^{3}(2^{p}) = 2^{p+3} ⇒ 2^{p+1} = p + 3$$

 $4^{x} = 8x$

Multiplying both powers by $\frac{1}{n+1}$

$$\therefore 2 = (p+3)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(2)$$

Recall that $2 = (1+3)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

(2)
$$\Rightarrow (1+3)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (p+3)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(3)$$

to get the value of p apply comparison theorem which state that the base in the left-hand side of (3) is equal to the base of the right-hand side of (3), if and only if their powers are equal.

In other words,
$$1 + 3 = p + 3$$
, $iff \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{p+1}$
 $\therefore p = 1$, recall that $x = 2^p = 2^1 = 2$

...

Therefore x = 2 (*proved*)

DOI: 10.35629/4767-10053132

Solutions to question (2)

9(3y²) = 27^y
∴ 27y² = 27^y
⇒ y² =
$$\frac{27^{y}}{27}$$
 = 27^{y-1}(4)

Let $y = 3^q$

$$(4) \Rightarrow (3^{q})^{2} = 27^{3^{q}-1} = 3^{3^{(3^{q}-1)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow 3^{2q} = 3^{(3^{q+1}-3)}$$

$$2q = 3^{q+1} - 3 \Rightarrow 3^{q+1} = 2q + 3$$

Multiplying both powers by $\frac{1}{q+1}$

$$\therefore 3 = (2q+3)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(5)$$

Recall that $3 = (0+3)^{\frac{1}{0+1}}$

$$\therefore (5) \Rightarrow (0+3)^{\frac{1}{0+1}} = (2q+3)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(6)$$

to get the value of p apply comparison theorem which state that the base in the left-hand side of (6) is equal to the base of the right-hand side of (6), if and only if their powers are equal. In other words, 0 + 3 = 2q + 3, if $f = \frac{1}{2q}$

$$p_{0+1} = q^{q+1}$$

 $\therefore q = 0$, recall that $y = 2^q = 2^0 = 1$

Therefore y = 1 (*proved*)

Conclusion

We have been able to introduce a theorem that enabled us to get the exact value of x in the equation of the type. $A^x = Bx$ if and only if A and B are whole numbers.

Our next target is to investigate Fermat's last Theorem and get a general formula that can solve equations of the type $X^n + Y^n = Z^n$ since it is obvious that we cannot get an integer value for all

X, *Y* and *Z* if *n* is greater than 2 but if n = 2 we obtain a Pythagorean formula.

References

- [1]. Nigel Boston (2003) "The proof of Fermat's last Theorem. University of Wisconsin-Madison. Springer.
- [2]. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambert_W_function
- [3]. Abdelilah et al (2002). Numerical evaluation of Lambert W function and application to generation of generalized Gausian noise with exponent ¹/₂. IEEE Transactions of signal processing vol. 50, no. 9.