

# Inversion Formula of Generalized Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform in the Distributional Sense

A. N. Rangari<sup>1</sup>, N. H. Mankar<sup>2</sup> and V. D. Sharma<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Adarsh College, Dhamangaon Rly.- 444709 (M.S), India.  
Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Amolakchand Mahavidyalaya, Yavatmal-445001(M.S) India.  
Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Arts, Commerce and Science College, Amravati- 444606(M.S), India.

---

**ABSTRACT:** In the field of Mathematics and Engineering, there are several integral transforms and many researchers worked on it. Integral transforms are broadly used in various areas of applied science and engineering for finding solution of problem. The Mellin transform is a most important integral transform to solve differential problem in mathematics and physics. A new transform Aboodh transform arises as an alternative to solve differential equation in recent days.

This paper, presents the generalization of Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform in the distributional sense. We first present definition of generalized Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform, define some testing function spaces. The main aim of this paper is to prove Inversion theorem and Uniqueness theorem which will be useful to solve differential and integral equations.

**KEYWORDS:** Aboodh Transform, Finite Mellin Transform, Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform, Generalized functions, Testing functions Space, Inversion theorem, Uniqueness theorem.

---

Date of Submission: 22-05-2026

Date of Acceptance: 05-06-2026

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

The role of integral transform is well-established, particularly through their application in applied mathematics, mathematical physics and engineering science [1]. Mellin Transform is introduced by Robert Hjalmar Mellin in 1854-1933. Mellin Transform has many applications in medical field, agriculture and quantum calculus [2]. Also, important use in solution of fractional differential equation [3], to derive different properties in statistics and probability densities of single continues random variable [4]. Aboodh Transform (AT) is named in the honor of Khalid Suliman Aboodh in 2013 [5]. It is designed to simplify the process of solving ordinary and partial differential equation in time domain. Many authors studied on integral transforms extending double transformation. B.N. Bhosale and M.S. Choudhary [6] and S.M. Khairnar et.al.[7] has discussed double transform and their application. So, we have introduced a new combination of integral transform namely Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform with definition and its analytical study in distributional generalized sense is already proved.

We present and prove the Inversion Theorem and Uniqueness Theorem for the Distributional Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform. For the generalization of Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform and for its inversion, various testing function spaces are required, which we have been defined and discussed in this paper by Gelfand-Shilov technique.

The outline of the paper is as follows: Testing function Spaces are defined in section 2. In section 3 definition of Distributional generalized Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform is given. In section 4 main course of this paper that is Inversion Theorem is proved. In section 5 conclusions are given. The notations and terminology as per A. H. Zemanian [9], [10].

## II. TESTING FUNCTION SPACES

### 2.1 The Space $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}$

Let  $I$  be the open sets in  $R_+ \times R_+$  and  $E_+$  denote the class of infinitely differentiable function defined on  $I$ , the space  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}$  is given by,

$$AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha} = \left\{ \phi: \phi \in E_+ / \gamma_{a,b,c,d,q,l} \phi(t, x) = \sup_{\substack{0 < t < \infty \\ 0 < x < a}} |K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) x^{q+1} D_t^l D_x^q \phi(t, x)| \leq C_{lq} A^a a^{\alpha} \right\}$$

for each  $l, q = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

where  $K_{a,b}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{at}, & 0 \leq t < \infty \\ e^{bt}, & -\infty < t < 0 \end{cases}$

$\lambda_{c,d}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{+c}, & 0 < x < 1 \\ x^{+d}, & 1 < x < a \end{cases}$  are the kernels for testing function space of Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform respectively.

Also, where the constants A and  $C_{lq}$  depend on the testing function  $\phi$ .

### 2.2 The Space $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^\beta$

The space  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^\beta$  is given by,

$$AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^\beta = \left\{ \phi: \phi \in E_+ / \rho_{a,b,c,d,q,l} \phi(t, x) = \sup_{\substack{0 < t < \infty \\ 0 < x < a}} |K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) x^{q+1} D_t^l D_x^q \phi(t, x)| \leq CA^a a^{\alpha} B^l l^{\beta} \right\}$$

where the constants A, B and C depend on the testing function  $\phi$ .

### III. DISTRIBUTIONAL GENERALIZED ABOODH-FINITE MELLIN TRANSFORM ( $AM_f T$ )

For  $f(t, x) \in AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^{*\beta}$ , where  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^{*\beta}$  is the dual space of  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^\beta$ . It contains all distributions of compact support. The distributional Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform is a function of  $f(t, x)$  is defined as

$$AM_f\{f(t, x)\} = F(s, p) = \left\langle f(t, x), \frac{1}{s} e^{-st} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \right\rangle \quad (3.1)$$

where, for each fixed  $t$  ( $0 < t < \infty$ ),  $x$  ( $0 < x < a$ ),  $s > 0$  and  $p > 0$ , the right-hand side of (3.1) has a sense as an application of  $f(t, x) \in AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^{*\beta}$  to  $\frac{1}{s} e^{-st} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \in AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}^\beta$

### IV. INVERSION THEOREM FOR ABOODH-FINITE MELLIN TRANSFORM

#### 4.1 LEMMA 1

**STATEMENT:** Let  $AM_f\{f(t, x)\} = F(s, p)$  and  $\text{sup } pf \subset S_A \cap S_B$ , where  $S_A = \{t: t \in R^n, |t| \leq A, A > 0\}$  and  $S_B = \{x: x \in R^n, |x| \leq B, B > 0\}$ , for  $s > 0$  and  $\rho_1 < Re p < \rho_2$ .

Let  $\phi \in D$  and  $\psi(s, p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) s e^{st} x^{-p} dt dx$

Then for any fixed real number  $\tau$  and  $r$  with  $-\infty < r < \infty, 0 < \tau < a$ ,

$$\int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left\langle f(t, x), \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \right\rangle \psi(s, p) ds dw = \left\langle f(t, x), \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right\rangle$$

Where  $p = \rho + i\omega$ , also  $s$  and  $p$  are fixed with  $\sigma_1 < s < \sigma_2$  and  $\rho_1 < p < \rho_2$ .

**Proof:** - For  $\phi(t, x) \equiv 0$ , the result is trivial, so assume that  $\phi(t, x) \neq 0$ .

If  $AM_f f(t, x) = F(s, p)$ , then  $F(s, p)$  is analytic for  $s > 0, \rho_1 < Re p < \rho_2$  and  $\psi(s, p)$  is an entire function. Therefore, above integrals certainly exist.

In order that right hand side is meaningful, we show that

$$\int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \in AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) x^{q+1} D_t^l D_x^q \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right| \\ & \leq \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left| K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) x^{q+1} D_t^l D_x^q \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) \right| ds dw \\ & \leq \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left| K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) x^{q+1} (-s)^l \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \{ a^{2p} P(-p-q) x^{-p-q-1} - P(p-q) x^{p-q-1} \} \psi(s, p) \right| ds dw \\ & \leq \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left| K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) s^{l-1} e^{-st} \{ a^{2p} P(-p) x^{-p} - P(p) x^p \} \psi(s, p) \right| ds dw \end{aligned}$$

where  $P(p)$  is a polynomial in  $p$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left| K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) s^{l-1} e^{-st} \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{2p} P(-p) x^p - P(p) x^p \right\} \psi(s, p) \right| ds dw \\ & \leq \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left| K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) s^{l-1} e^{-st} \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{2(\rho+i\omega)} P(-p) - P(p) \right\} x^{(\rho+i\omega)} \psi(s, p) \right| ds dw < \infty \quad (4.1.1) \\ & \Rightarrow \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \in AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Partition the path of integration on the straight line from  $s = -r$  to  $s = r$  into  $m$ -intervals, each of length  $\frac{2r}{m}$

and from  $p = \rho - i\tau$  to  $p = \rho + i\tau$  into  $n$ -intervals, each of length  $\frac{2\tau}{n}$ .

Let  $s_v = \sigma$  be any point in  $v^{th}$  interval and  $p_\mu = \rho + i\omega$  be any point in  $\mu^{th}$  interval.

Suppose,

$$\phi_{m,n}(t, x) = \sum_{v=1}^m \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{e^{-s_v t}}{s_v} \left( \frac{a^{2p_\mu}}{x^{p_\mu+1}} - x^{p_\mu-1} \right) \psi(s_v, p_\mu) \frac{2r}{m} \frac{2\tau}{n} \quad (4.1.2)$$

To show that  $\phi_{m,n}(t, x)$  converges in  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}$  to  $\int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw$

We have to show that  $\phi_{m,n}(t, x) - \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw$ , converges to zero in  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We write,

$$\left| K_{a,b}(t) \lambda_{c,d}(x) x^{q+1} D_t^l D_x^q \left[ \phi_{m,n}(t, x) - \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right] \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left| K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x)x^{q+1}D_t^l D_x^q \left[ \sum_{v=1}^m \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{e^{-s_v t}}{s_v} \left( \frac{a^{2p_\mu}}{x^{p_\mu+1}} - x^{p_\mu-1} \right) \psi(s_v, p_\mu) \frac{2r}{m} \frac{2\tau}{n} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^\tau \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right] \right| \\
 &= \left| K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x)x^{q+1} \sum_{v=1}^m \sum_{\mu=1}^n (-1)^l (s_v)^l \frac{e^{-s_v t}}{s_v} \{ a^{2p_\mu} P(-p_\mu - q) x^{-p_\mu - q - 1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - P(-p_\mu - q) x^{p_\mu - q - 1} \} \psi(s_v, p_\mu) \frac{2r}{m} \frac{2\tau}{n} - K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x)x^{q+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^\tau (s)^l \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \{ a^{2p} P(-p - q) x^{-p - q - 1} - P(-p - q) x^{p - q - 1} \} \psi(s, p) ds dw \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $P(-p_\mu - q)$  is a polynomial in  $p_\mu$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left| K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^m \sum_{\mu=1}^n (s_v)^{l-1} e^{-s_v t} x^{p_\mu} \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{2p_\mu} P(-p_\mu) - P(-p_\mu) \right\} \psi(s_v, p_\mu) \frac{2r}{m} \frac{2\tau}{n} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x) \left[ \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^\tau (s)^{l-1} e^{-st} x^p \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{2p} P(-p) - P(p) \right\} \psi(s, p) ds dw \right] \right| \quad (4.1.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^\tau (s)^{l-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{2p} P(-p) - P(p) \right\} \psi(s, p) ds dw$  is finite and

$|K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x)e^{-st}x^p| \rightarrow 0$ , For sufficiently large values of  $x$  and  $t$ .

Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have chosen  $x_0$  and  $t_0$ . So large that for  $x > x_0$  and  $t > t_0$ ,

$$\left| K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x) \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^\tau (s)^{l-1} e^{-st} x^p \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{2p} P(-p) - P(p) \right\} \psi(s, p) ds dw \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad (4.1.4)$$

Now consider the first term of, choosing  $m_0$  and  $n_0$  so large that for  $m > m_0$  and  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\left| K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x) \sum_{v=1}^m \sum_{\mu=1}^n e^{-s_v t} x^{p_\mu} \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{2p_\mu} P(-p_\mu) - P(-p_\mu) \right\} \psi(s_v, p_\mu) \right| < \frac{2\epsilon}{3} \quad (4.1.5)$$

For all  $x > x_0$ ,  $t > t_0$

In view of above in equations (4.1.3), (4.1.4) and (4.1.5),

$$\left| K_{a,b}(t)\lambda_{c,d}(x)x^{q+1}D_t^l D_x^q \left[ \phi_{m,n}(t, x) - \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^\tau \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right] \right| < \epsilon$$

$\Rightarrow \phi_{m,n}(t, x)$  converges to  $\int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^\tau \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw$  in  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}$

Hence  $\langle f(t, x), \phi_{m,n}(t, x) \rangle = \left\langle f(t, x), \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right\rangle$  (4.1.6)

Further left-hand side of (4.1.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(t, x), \phi_{m,n}(t, x) \rangle &= \left\langle f(t, x), \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(t, x), \sum_{v=1}^m \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{e^{-s_v t}}{s_v} \left( \frac{a^{2p_\mu}}{x^{p_\mu+1}} - x^{p_\mu-1} \right) \psi(s_v, p_\mu) \frac{2r}{m} \frac{2\tau}{n} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^m \sum_{\mu=1}^n \left\langle f(t, x), \frac{e^{-s_v t}}{s_v} \left( \frac{a^{2p_\mu}}{x^{p_\mu+1}} - x^{p_\mu-1} \right) \right\rangle \psi(s_v, p_\mu) \frac{2r}{m} \frac{2\tau}{n} \\ &= \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left\langle f(t, x), \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \right\rangle \psi(s, p) ds dw \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $\left\langle f(t, x), \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) \right\rangle$  is continuous function of  $s$  and  $w$  from (4.1.6).

We have

$$\int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left\langle f(t, x), \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \right\rangle \psi(s, p) ds dw = \left\langle f(t, x), \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{e^{-st}}{s} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{x^{p+1}} - x^{p-1} \right) \psi(s, p) ds dw \right\rangle$$

**Lemma 2**

Let  $a, b, c, d, \rho, r$  and  $\tau$  be real numbers with  $c < s < d, a < p < b$ . Also let  $\phi \in D$  then

$$\frac{-1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1}}{u^{\rho+1}} \frac{\sin(\tau \log(xu))}{x \log(xu)} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho+1} \frac{\sin(\tau \log(\frac{u}{x}))}{x \log(\frac{u}{x})} \right] \phi(t, x) dt dx = A(v, u)$$

Then  $A(v, u)$  converges in  $AM_{f,a,b,c,d,\alpha}$  to  $\phi(t, x)$ ,  $r \rightarrow \infty, \tau \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof:** -To prove

$$\frac{-1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1}}{u^{\rho+1}} \frac{\sin(\tau \log(xu))}{x \log(xu)} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\sin(\tau \log(\frac{u}{x}))}{x \log(\frac{u}{x})} \right] \phi(t, x) dt dx \rightarrow \phi(v, u)$$

We have to show that

$$\gamma_{l,q}[A(v, u) - \phi(v, u)] \rightarrow 0, \text{ where } \gamma_{l,q}[A(v, u)] = \sup |K_{a,b}(v) \lambda_{c,d}(u) u^{q+1} D_v^l D_u^q A(v, u)|$$

Consider,

$$\gamma_{l,q}[A(v, u) - \phi(v, u)]$$

$$= \sup \left| K_{a,b}(v) \lambda_{c,d}(u) u^{q+1} D_v^l D_u^q \left\{ \frac{-1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{u^{\rho+1} x \log(xu)} \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho+1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau\left(\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right] \phi(t,x) dt dx - \phi(v,u) \right\} \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.2.1)$$

Because  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} dt = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(rt_1)}{t_1} dt_1 = \pi$

$$\int_0^a \frac{\sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{x \log(xu)} dt = \int_{\infty}^d \frac{\sin(\tau x_1)}{x_1} dx_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$\int_0^a \frac{\sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{x \log(xu)} dt = \int_{\infty}^a \frac{\sin(\tau x_1)}{x_1} dx_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$\frac{-1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{u^{\rho+1} x \log(xu)} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho+1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau\left(\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right] \phi(v,u) dt dx = \phi \\ = \phi(v,u) \quad (4.2.2)$$

In the view of 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, we have to prove

$$\gamma_{l,q}[A(v,u) - \phi(v,u)]$$

$$= \sup \left| K_{a,b}(v) \lambda_{c,d}(u) u^{q+1} D_v^l D_u^q \left\{ \frac{-1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{u^{\rho+1} x \log(xu)} \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho+1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau\left(\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right] \phi(t,x) dt dx \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{u^{\rho+1} x \log(xu)} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho+1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau\left(\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right] \phi(v,u) dt dx \right\} \right| \\ = \sup \left| K_{a,b}(v) \lambda_{c,d}(u) u^{q+1} D_v^l D_u^q \left\{ -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ \int_0^a \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{u^{\rho+1} x \log(xu)} \phi(t,x) dx \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - \int_0^a \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho+1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau\left(\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \phi(t,x) dx \right] \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} dt \left[ \int_0^a \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau(\log(xu)))}{u^{\rho+1} x \log(xu)} \phi(v,u) dx - \int_0^a \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho+1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau\left(\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \phi(v,u) dx \right] \right\} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sup \left| K_{a,b}(v) \lambda_{c,d}(u) u^{q+1} D_v^l D_u^q \left\{ \frac{-1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(rt_1)}{t_1} dt_1 \left[ \int_{\infty}^d \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau x_1)}{u^{\rho+1} x_1} \phi(t, x) dx_1 \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{\infty}^d \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\sin(\tau x_1)}{x_1} \phi(t, x) dx_1 - \int_{\infty}^d \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1} \sin(\tau x_1)}{u^{\rho+1} x_1} \phi(v, u) dx_1 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \int_{\infty}^d \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\sin(\tau x_1)}{x_1} \phi(v, u) dx_1 \right] \right\} \Bigg| \\
 &= \sup \left| K_{a,b}(v) \lambda_{c,d}(u) u^{q+1} D_v^l D_u^q \left\{ \frac{-1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(rt_1)}{t_1} dt_1 \left[ \int_{\infty}^d \frac{a^{2p} x^{-\rho+1}}{u^{\rho+1}} [\phi(t_1 + v, x) \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \phi(v, u)] \frac{\sin(\tau x_1)}{x_1} dx_1 - \int_{\infty}^d \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\sin(\tau x_1)}{x_1} [\phi(t_1 + v, x) - \phi(v, u)] dx_1 \right] \right\} \Bigg|
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  is continuous, as in Zemanian[9],[10].

$$\gamma_{l,q}[A(v, u) - \phi(v, u)] \rightarrow 0$$

Hence proved.

### 4.3 Inversion Theorem

Let  $AM_f\{f(t, x)\} = F(s, p)$ , for  $s > 0$  and  $\rho_1 < p < \rho_2$ . Also let  $r$  and  $\tau$  be real variables such that

$\infty < r < \infty, 0 < \tau < a$ . Then in the sense of convergence in  $D^*$ ,

$$f(t, x) = \lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ \tau \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-r}^r \int_{\rho-it}^{\rho+i\tau} se^{st} x^{-p} F(s, p) ds dp$$

Where  $p = \rho + i\omega$ , also  $s$  and  $p$  are fixed real numbers with  $-r < s < r$  and  $\rho_1 < p < \rho_2$ .

**Proof:** - Let  $\phi(t, x) \in D$ . Choose the real numbers  $c$  and  $d$  such that  $c < s < d$  and the real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\rho_1 < a < p < b < \rho_2$ .

We have to show that

$$\langle f(t, x), \phi(t, x) \rangle = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-r}^r \int_{\rho-it}^{\rho+i\tau} se^{st} x^{-p} F(s, p) ds dp, \phi(t, x) \right\rangle \quad (4.3.1)$$

Now, the integral on

$s$  and  $p$  is a continuous function of  $t$  and  $x$  and therefore the right hand side of (4.3.1)

without the limit notation can be written as

$$\frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} se^{st} x^{-p} F(s, p) ds d\omega dt dx, \quad \text{where, } p = \rho + i\omega, r, \tau > 0 \quad (4.3.2)$$

Since  $\phi(t, x)$  is of bounded support and the integrand is a continuous function of  $t, x, s, \omega$ , the order of integration may be changed and we write,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} s e^{st} x^{-p} F(s, p) ds d\omega dt dx &= \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(s, p) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) s e^{st} x^{-p} dt dx ds d\omega \\ &= \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left\langle f(v, u), \frac{1}{s} e^{-sv} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{u^{p+1}} - u^{p-1} \right) \right\rangle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) s e^{st} x^{-p} dt dx ds d\omega \\ &= \left\langle f(v, u), \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{1}{s} e^{-sv} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{u^{p+1}} - u^{p-1} \right) \right\rangle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) s e^{st} x^{-p} dt dx ds d\omega \end{aligned}$$

The order of integration for the repeated integral here in may be changed because again  $\phi(t, x)$  is of bounded support and the integrand is continuous function of  $t, x, s, w$  upon doing this we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left\langle f(v, u), \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) \int_{-r}^r \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{1}{s} e^{-sv} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{u^{p+1}} - u^{p-1} \right) s e^{st} x^{-p} dt dx ds d\omega \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(v, u), \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \phi(t, x) \left[ \int_{-r}^r e^{(t-v)s} ds \right] \left[ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{u^{p+1}} - u^{p-1} \right) x^{-p} d\omega \right] dt dx \right\rangle \\ &\dots \dots \dots (4.3.3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider, } \int_{-r}^r e^{(t-v)s} ds &= \left[ \frac{e^{(t-v)s}}{(t-v)} \right]_{-r}^r = \left[ \frac{e^{(t-v)r}}{(t-v)} - \frac{e^{-(t-v)r}}{(t-v)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(t-v)} [e^{(t-v)r} - e^{-(t-v)r}] = \frac{1}{(t-v)} [2\sinh(t-v)r] \\ &= \frac{2\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \quad (4.3.4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left( \frac{a^{2p}}{u^{p+1}} - u^{p-1} \right) x^{-p} d\omega &= \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} x^{-p} \frac{a^{2p}}{u^{p+1}} d\omega - \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} x^{-p} u^{p-1} d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} x^{-p} u^{-p-1} a^{2p} d\omega - \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} x^{-p} u^{p-1} d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} x(xu)^{-p-1} a^{2p} d\omega - \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left( \frac{u}{x} \right)^{p-1} \frac{1}{x} d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} x(xu)^{-\rho-i\omega-1} a^{2p} d\omega - \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left( \frac{u}{x} \right)^{\rho+i\omega-1} \frac{1}{x} d\omega \\ &= a^{2p} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} x(xu)^{-\rho-1} (xu)^{-i\omega} d\omega - \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left( \frac{u}{x} \right)^{\rho-1} \left( \frac{u}{x} \right)^{i\omega} \frac{1}{x} d\omega \\ &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (xu)^{-i\omega} d\omega - \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{u}{x} \right)^{\rho-1} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left( \frac{u}{x} \right)^{i\omega} d\omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (xu)^t (idt) - \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^t (-idt) \\
 &\quad \text{putting } t = -i\omega \text{ and } t = i\omega \text{ respectively} \\
 &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} i \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (xu)^t dt + i \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^t dt \\
 &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} i \left[ \frac{(xu)^t}{\log(xu)} \right]_{-\tau}^{\tau} + i \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^t}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right]_{-\tau}^{\tau} \\
 &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} i \left[ \frac{(xu)^{-i\omega}}{\log(xu)} \right]_{-\tau}^{\tau} + i \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{i\omega}}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right]_{-\tau}^{\tau} \\
 &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} i \left[ \frac{(xu)^{-i\tau}}{\log(xu)} - \frac{(xu)^{i\tau}}{\log(xu)} \right] + i \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{i\tau}}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} + \frac{\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{-i\tau}}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right] \\
 &= -i \left\{ a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \left[ \frac{(xu)^{i\tau}}{\log(xu)} - \frac{(xu)^{-i\tau}}{\log(xu)} \right] - \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{i\tau}}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} + \frac{\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{-i\tau}}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right] \right\} \\
 &= -i \left\{ a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \frac{1}{\log(xu)} [(xu)^{i\tau} - (xu)^{-i\tau}] - \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \left[ \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{i\tau} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{-i\tau} \right] \right\} \\
 &= -i \left\{ a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \frac{[2i \sin(\tau \log(xu))]}{\log(xu)} - \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{[2i \sin(\tau \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right))]}{\log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right\} \\
 &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \frac{\sin(\tau \log(xu))}{\log(xu)} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \\
 &= a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho+1}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \frac{\sin(\tau \log(xu))}{x \log(xu)} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \quad (4.3.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.3.4) and (4.3.5), (4.3.3) becomes

$$= \left\langle f(v, u), \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \frac{2\sinh(t-v)r}{(t-v)} \left[ a^{2p} \frac{(x)^{-\rho+1}}{(u)^{\rho+1}} \frac{\sin(\tau \log(xu))}{x \log(xu)} - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\sin\left(\tau \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)\right)}{x \log\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right] \phi(t, x) dt dx \right\rangle$$

Taking  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$  and using Lemma (2), we get

$$\langle f(v, u), \phi(v, u) \rangle = \lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ \tau \rightarrow \infty}} \left\langle \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-r}^r \int_{\rho-it}^{\rho+i\tau} se^{st} x^{-p} F(s, p) ds dp, \phi(t, x) \right\rangle$$

This completes the proof.

**4.4 Uniqueness Theorem:**

If  $AM_f\{f(t, x)\} = F(s, p)$ , for  $s, p \in \Omega_f$  and  $AM_f\{g(t, x)\} = G(s, p)$ , for  $s, p \in \Omega_g, s > 0$  and

$\rho_1 < \text{Re } p < \rho_2$ . If  $\Omega_f \cap \Omega_g$  is not empty and if  $F(s, p) = G(s, p)$ , for  $s \in \Omega_f \cap \Omega_g$  and  $p \in \Omega_f \cap \Omega_g$ .

Then  $f = g$  in the sense of equality  $D^*(I)$ .

**Proof :-** Let  $f$  and  $g$  must assign the same value to each  $\phi \in D$ .

By inversion theorem and equating  $F(s, p)$  and  $G(s, p)$  in  $\langle f - g, \phi(t, x) \rangle$

$$\langle f - g, \phi(t, x) \rangle = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-r}^r (F - G)(s, p) s e^{st} x^{-p} ds dp, \phi(t, x) \right) = 0$$

Thus  $f = g$  in  $D^*(I)$ .

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we have rigorously established both the inversion theorem and the uniqueness theorem for generalized Aboodh-Finite Mellin Transform. The inversion theorem confirms that, under appropriate conditions on the testing function space, the original function can be fully reconstructed from its transformed counterpart. This result not only validates the operational reliability of the transform but also ensures its applicability in solving differential equations, boundary value problems and inverse problems across mathematics, physics, and engineering. Equally significant is the uniqueness theorem, which guarantees that the transform representation of a function is one-to-one within the prescribed domain. This implies that no two distinct functions share the same transform.

**REFERENCES**

[1] Lokenath Debnath and Dambaru Bhatta, "Integral Transforms and their Applications", Chapman and Hall/CRC Taylor and Francis Group Boca Raton London, New York, 2007.

[2] NEFZI Bochra, and FITOUHI Ahmed, "On the finite Mellin transform in quantum calculus and application", Acta mathematical Scientia, Vol.38, Issue 4, pp.1393-1410, July 2008.

[3] Klimek Malgorzata and Dziembowski Daniel, "On Mellin transform application to solution of fractional differential equations", Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematical and Computer Science, Vol. 7, Issue 2, pp. 31-42, 2008.

[4] S. M. Khairnar, R. M. Pise, and J. N. Salunkhe, "Study of the mellin integral transform with applications in statistics and probability," Archives of Applied Science Research, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 1294-1310, 2012.

[5] K. S. Aboodh, "The new integral transform "Aboodh Transform"", Global journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 9(1), 35-43, 2013.

[6] B. N. Bhosale, and M. S. Chaudhary, "Fourier-Hankel Transform of Distribution of compact support," J. Indian Acad. Math, 24(1), pp. 169-190, 2002.

[7] S. M. Khairnar, R. M. Pise, and J. N. Salunke, "Applications of the Laplace-Mellin integral transform to differential equations," International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 2(5) pp. 1-8, (2012).

[8] Gelfand, I. M., and Shilov G.E., Generalized function, Vol.II, Academic Press, New York, 1968.

[9] A.H. Zemanian, "Distribution theory and transform analysis", McGraw Hill, New York, 1965.

[10] A. H. Zemanian, "Generalized integral transform", Inter science publisher, New York, 1968.

[11] V.D. Sharma and A.N. Rangari, Generalized Fourier-Finite Mellin transform, International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3, (3) pp.1257-1260, (2012).

[12] V. D. Sharma, and A. N. Rangari, "Operational Calculus on Fourier-Finite Mellin Transform," International Journal of Engineering and Innovative technology (IJEIT), Vol. 3, Issue 4, pp. 161-164, Oct. 2013.

[13] V. D. Sharma, and A. N. Rangari, (2015). "Inversion Theorem for Distributional Fourier-Finite Mellin Transform", Elixir International Journal, Elixir Appl. Math., 89 pp. 36835-36842.

[14] V. D. Sharma, and A. N. Rangari, (2015). "Inversion Theorem for Distributional Fourier-Laplace Transform", International Journal of Current Research, Vol. 8, No. 04, pp. 29458-29465, April 2016.

[15] P. K. Sontakke and A. S. Gudadhe, "Analyticity and Operation Transform on Generalized fractional Hartley Transform", Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 2, no. 20, 977-986, 2008.

[16] Sharma, V. D., and Dolas, P. D., "Inversion Formula for Two-Dimensional Generalized Fourier- Mellin Transform and its Application", International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research, Vol. 2, Issue 1, December 2011.