

A Short Note On The Order Of a Meromorphic Matrix Valued Function.

¹Subhas S. Bhoosnurmath, ²K.S.L.N.Prasad

¹Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580003-INDIA

²Associate Professor, Department Of Mathematics, Karnatak Arts College, Dharwad-580001, INDIA

ABSTRACT: In this paper we have compared the orders of two meromorphic matrix valued functions $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ whose elements satisfy a similar condition as in Nevanlinna-Polya theorem on a complex domain D .

KEYWORDS: Nevanlinna theory, Matrix valued meromorphic functions.

Preliminaries: We define a meromorphic matrix valued function as in [2].

By a matrix valued meromorphic function $A(z)$ we mean a matrix all of whose entries are meromorphic on the whole (finite) complex plane.

A complex number z is called a pole of $A(z)$ if it is a pole of one of the entries of $A(z)$, and z is called a zero of $A(z)$ if it is a pole of $[A(z)]^{-1}$.

For a meromorphic $m \times m$ matrix valued function $A(z)$,

$$\text{let } m(r, A) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\| A(re^{i\theta}) \right\| d\theta \quad (1)$$

where A has no poles on the circle $|z| = r$.

$$\text{Here, } \|A(z)\| = \max_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in \mathbb{C}^n}} \|A(z)x\|$$

$$\text{Set } N(r, A) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, A)}{t} dt \quad (2)$$

where $n(t, A)$ denotes the number of poles of A in the disk $\{z : |z| \leq t\}$, counting multiplicities.

Let $T(r, A) = m(r, A) + N(r, A)$

The order of A is defined by $\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, A)}{\log r}$

We wish to prove the following result

Theorem: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(z) \\ f_2(z) \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(z) \\ g_2(z) \end{bmatrix}$ be two meromorphic matrix valued functions. If f_k and g_k ($k=1, 2$) satisfy

$$|f_1(z)|^2 + |f_2(z)|^2 = |g_1(z)|^2 + |g_2(z)|^2 \quad (1)$$

on a complex domain D , then $\rho_A = \rho_B$, where ρ_A and ρ_B are the orders of A and B respectively.

We use the following lemmas to prove our result.

Lemma 1[3] [The Nevanlinna –Polya theorem]

Let n be an arbitrary fixed positive integer and for each k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) let f_k and g_k be analytic functions of a complex variable z on a non empty domain D .

If f_k and g_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f_k(z)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |g_k(z)|^2$$

on D and if $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ are linearly independent on D , then there exists an $n \times n$ unitary matrix C , where each of the entries of C is a complex constant such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_1(z) \\ g_2(z) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(z) \end{bmatrix} = C \begin{bmatrix} f_1(z) \\ f_2(z) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

holds on D .

Lemma 2 Let f_k and g_k be as defined in our theorem ($k = 1, 2$). Then there exists a 2×2 unitary matrix C where each of the entries of C is a complex constant such that $B = CA$
(2)

where A and B are as defined in the theorem.

Proof of Lemma 2

We consider the following two cases.

Case A: If f_1, f_2 are linearly independent on D , then the proof follows from the Nevanlinna – Polya theorem.

Case B: If f_1 and f_2 are linearly dependent on D , then there exists two complex constants c_1, c_2 , not both zero such that

$$c_1 f_1(z) + c_2 f_2(z) = 0 \quad (3)$$

We discuss two subcases

Case B₁ : If $c_2 \neq 0$, then by (3) we get $f_2(z) = \frac{-c_1}{c_2} f_1(z)$ (4)

holds on D .

If we set $b = \frac{-c_1}{c_2}$, then by (4) we have $f_2(z) = b f_1(z)$ on D . (5)

Hence (1) takes the form

$$(1 + |b|^2) |f_1(z)|^2 = |g_1(z)|^2 + |g_2(z)|^2. \quad (6)$$

We may assure that $f_1 \neq 0$ on D . Otherwise the proof is trivial.

Hence by (6), we get

$$\left| \frac{g_1(z)}{f_1(z)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{g_2(z)}{f_1(z)} \right|^2 = 1 + |b|^2 \quad (7)$$

Taking the Laplacians $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ of both sides of (7) with respect to $z = x + iy$ (x, y real), we get

$$\left| \left(\frac{g_1(z)}{f_1(z)} \right)' \right|^2 + \left| \left(\frac{g_2(z)}{f_1(z)} \right)' \right|^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

Since $|\Delta P(z)|^2 = 4 |P'(z)|^2$, [14] where P is an analytic function of z , by (8), we get

$$\left(\frac{g_1(z)}{f_1(z)} \right)' = 0, \quad \left(\frac{g_2(z)}{f_1(z)} \right)' = 0$$

Hence, $g_1(z) = c f_1(z)$ and $g_2(z) = d f_1(z)$ (9)

where c, d are complex constants.

Substituting (9) in (7), we get $|c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1 + |b|^2$ (10)

Let us define

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} & -\frac{\bar{b}}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} \\ \frac{b}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and $V := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} & -\frac{\bar{d}}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} \\ \frac{d}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} & \frac{\bar{c}}{\sqrt{1+|b|^2}} \end{bmatrix}$ (12)

Then, it is easy to prove that

$$U \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1+|b|^2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{and } V \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1+|b|^2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Set } C = V U^{-1} \quad (15)$$

Since all 2×2 unitary matrices form a group under the standard multiplication of matrices, by (15), C is a 2×2 unitary matrix.

$$\text{Now, by (13), we have } U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1 + |b|^2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Thus, we have on D,

$$\begin{aligned} C \begin{bmatrix} f_1(z) \\ f_2(z) \end{bmatrix} &= f_1(z) \ C \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \text{ by (5)} \\ &= f_1(z) \ V \left(U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{pmatrix} \right), \text{ by (15)} \\ &= f_1(z) \ V \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 + |b|^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ by (16)} \\ &= f_1(z) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \text{ by (14)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} g_1(z) \\ g_2(z) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ by (9)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result.

Case B₂. Let $c_2 = 0$ and $c_1 \neq 0$.

Then by (3), we get $f_1 = 0$.

$$\text{Hence, by (1), } |f_2(z)|^2 = |g_1(z)|^2 + |g_2(z)|^2 \quad (17)$$

holds on D.

By (17) and by a similar argument as in case B₁, we get the result.

Proof of the theorem

By Lemma 2, we have $B = CA$ where A and B are as defined in the theorem.

Therefore, $T(r, B) = T(r, CA)$

using the basics of Nevanlinna theory[2], we can show that,

$$T(r, B) \leq T(r, A) \text{ as } T(r, C) = o\{T(r, f)\}$$

On further simpler simplifications, we get

$$\rho_B \leq \rho_A. \quad (18)$$

By inter changing f_k and g_k ($k=1, 2$) in Lemma 2,

we get $A = CB$, which implies

$$T(r, A) \leq T(r, B) \text{ and hence } \rho_A \leq \rho_B \quad (19)$$

By (18) and (19), we have $\rho_A = \rho_B$

Hence the result.

REFERENCES

- [1.] C. L. PRATHER and A.C.M. RAN (1987) : "Factorization of a class of meromorphic matrix valued functions", *Jl. of Math. Analysis*, 127, 413-422.
- [2.] HAYMAN W. K. (1964) : *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, London.
- [3.] HIROSHI HARUKI (1996) : 'A remark on the Nevanlinna-polya theorem in analytic function theory', *Jl. of Math. Ana. and Appl.* 200, 382-387.